Aerospace Medical Association

President Valerie E. Martindale, PhD, CAsP, FAsMA Tokyo, Japan



Executive Director Jeffrey C. Sventek, MS, CAsP, FAsMA Association Headquarters Office

320 South Henry Street Alexandria, VA 22314-3579 Phone: 703-739-2240 Fax: 703-739-9652 www.asma.org

July 10, 2017

Public Health Panorama Division of Information, Evidence, Research and Innovation (DIR) WHO Regional Office for Europe UN City Marmorvej 51 DK-2100, Copenhagen Ø Denmark

Dear Editor,

On behalf of the undersigned organizations, we are writing to express our concerns with the paper titled "AEROTOXIC SYNDROME: A NEW OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE?" published in the June 2017 issue of *Public Health Panorama*. The paper by Michaelis et al asks whether "aerotoxic syndrome" is a new occupational disease and claims to have identified a clear cause and effect relationship linking symptoms, diagnoses, and findings to the occupational environment. From our review of this paper, we see no evidence identifying such a relationship and given the controversial nature of the subject matter, wish to convey our concerns about the methodology of the study.

The paper reports two studies: in the first, pilots of BAe146 series aircraft were approached via a pilot union, with a response rate of 14% and an unstated mixture of written questionnaire and telephone interview responses by one of the authors, the content of which are not included in the publication. In the absence of any information from the 86% of non-respondents, and any detail on the questions asked to the respondents, it is not possible to evaluate or exclude selection bias or interviewer bias. Therefore, any conclusions from the first study must be extremely guarded.

In the second study, 15 potential cabin air quality incidents were analyzed. The studies were gathered from a variety of sources, and are said to have been selected because of being consistent with hyperventilation and hypoxia, but no detail is provided as to how this selection process was conducted or what characterized the studies which were excluded. It is therefore once again not possible to evaluate the potential for bias in the analysis. In almost all of the 15 selected cases, symptoms were reported, in many cases by multiple individuals, and a variety of medical findings were reported. The listed complaints were in many cases chronic. These findings are interesting but in the absence of any quantitative analysis constitute only a case series and do not elucidate the genesis of the symptoms.

The two separate studies were then combined to create a comparison between the reported symptoms in the two data sets. No quantitative analysis is applied but there appears to be overlap in symptomatology between

the two groups, with listed symptoms for certain chemicals present in oil and de-icing fluids, and with symptoms of hypoxia/hyperventilation.

From the data presented it can be said that there is a group of people who suffer ongoing and significant symptoms which they attribute to cabin air events, but little more can be concluded. The authors go on to discuss toxicology of potential on-board contaminants, and propose a pathway by which chronic low-level exposure to organophosphates combined with intermittent high-dose exposure might cause neurotoxic effects, but the data from this study do not establish evidence for this proposal.

In the descriptions of both studies the authors refer to participants having died, in the same sentence as describing ill-health; this has the effect of implying that death was related to cabin air exposure, but nothing is presented to indicate whether this is claimed.

Our most serious concern with the paper is the claim, quoting only the lead author's PhD thesis, that the Bradford-Hill criteria for causation have been met. Having reviewed both this paper and the quoted thesis, we disagree with this claim and assert that few of the criteria for causation have been satisfied.

Over the past two decades several major studies of cabin air have been carried out internationally and none have identified levels of toxic substances approaching clinical significance. While encouraging thorough scientific research in all areas of aerospace medicine, our review of the available literature leads us to suggest that significant symptoms being suffered by a group of individuals, here labelled as aerotoxic syndrome, are not explained by toxins in cabin air, and that other causes must be sought. This paper, because of potential bias and lack of replicability, has not altered our assessment.

Sincerely,



Aerospace Medical Association 320 South Henry Street Alexandria, VA 22314 www.asma.org



Civil Aviation Medical Association P.O. Box 2382 Peachtree City, GA 30269 www.civilavmed.org



European Society of Aerospace Medicine <u>www.esam.aero</u>



International Academy of Aviation and Space Medicine Contact: Secretary-General www.iaasm.org

S. Montal

Valerie E. Martindale, PhD President

Clayton T. Cowl, MD, MS President

Anthony Wagstaff, MB BCh DAvMed, PhD President

Jarnail Singh, MBBS, DAvMed, M.Sc President



Royal Aeronautical Society Aerospace Medicine Group No.4 Hamilton Place London W1J 7BQ www.aerosociety.com

Andy Timperley, MB ChB FRCP DAvMed Chairman

RELATED PUBLICATIONS:

- 1. GCAQE Press Release 19 April 2012. GCAQE: http://www.gcaqe.org
- 2. Inhalable toxic chemicals on board aircraft ITCOBA Seminar, Cranfield, Oct 2011. Journal of Biological Physics and Chemistry, Vol 11, No 4. http://www.itcoba.net/
- 3. van Netten C (1998). Air quality and health effects associated with the operation of the BAe146-200 aircraft. Applied Occupational and Environmental Hygiene 13:733-739
- 4. Spengler JD, Burge H, Dumyahn T, Muilenberg M, Forester D (1997). Environmental Survey on Aircraft and Ground-based Commercial Transportation Vehicles. Harvard School of Public Health, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA.
- Dumyahn, TS, Spengler, JD, Burge, HA, Muilenburg, M (2000). Comparison of the Environments of Transportation Vehicles: Results of Two Surveys, Air Quality and Comfort in Airliner Cabins, ASTM STP 1393, N.L. Nagda, Ed, American Society for Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken, PA 2000
- 6. Committee on Toxicity of Chemicals in Food Consumer Products and the Environment: Statement on the Review of the Cabin Air Environment, Ill-health in Aircraft Crews and the possible relationship to Smoke/fume Events in Aircraft.

http://cot.food.gov.uk/cotstatements/cotstatementsyrs/cotstatements2007/cotstatementbalpa0706

- 7. Muir H. (2008). Cabin air sampling study functionality test. Cranfield: Cranfield University. https://dspace.lib.cranfield.ac.uk/handle/1826/2389
- 8. Cranfield University Institute of Environment and Health. (2011). Aircraft Cabin Air Sampling Report (Part 1 of the Final Report) https://dspace.lib.cranfield.ac.uk/handle/1826/5305
- 9. Cranfield University Institute of Environment and Health. (2011). Aircraft Cabin Air Sampling Report (Part 2 of the Final Report) https://dspace.lib.cranfield.ac.uk/handle/1826/5306
- 10. Lamb J, McGonagle C, Cowie H, Cherrie JW. Cabin Air surface residue study. IOM Research Report TM/11/06. March 2012
- 11. Spengler JD, Wilson DG. Air Quality in Aircraft. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part E: Journal of Process Mechanical Engineering November 1, 2003 vol. 217 no. 4 323-335
- 12. BRE Cabin Air Quality Workshop. Aviat Space, Environ Med; 83(10): 1023. October 2012
- 13. Navathe P. What does EPAAQ add to our Knowledge? Proceedings of IATA Aviation Health Conference, London. Oct 2012
- 14. www.casa.gov.au/cabin/epaaq/index.htm
- 15. Schindler BK, Weiss T, et al. Occupational exposure of air crews to tricresyl phosphate isomers and organophosphate flame retardants after fume events. Arch Toxicol (2013) 87:645–648
- 16. Abou-Donia MB, Abou-Donia MM, et al. Autoantibodies to nervous system-specific proteins are elevated in sera of flight crew members: Biomarkers for nervous sytem injury. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A, 76:363–380, 2013
- 17. Gibson TM. Hyperventilation in aircrew: a review. Aviat Space Environ Med 1979; 50: 725-33
- 18. Hudson MF. Cabin Air Quality in Commercial Aircraft: Is there any cause for concern? A Review of the Current Evidence. Aviat Space Environ Med; 82(3). March 2011:280-281
- 19. www.nap.edu/catalog.php?record_id=10238 pp180-181

- 20. The United Kingdom Parliament Select Committee on Science and Technology Fifth Report (04-10-2006): 4.39
- 21. Karavidas KK, Lehrer PM. In-flight hyperventilation among airline pilots. Aviat, Space, Environ Med 2009; 80 (5): 495-6
- 22. Brown EB. Physiological effects of hyperventilation. Physiological Reviews 1953; 33: 445-71
- 23. van Netten C. Final Report on Aircraft Wipe Sample Analysis for Tricresyl Phosphate Isomers (Feb 2009). University of British Columbia, Vancouver BC. www.spph.ubc.ca
- 24. Ernsting J, Ward J, Rutherford OM. Cardiovascular and respiratory physiology. In Rainford DJ, Gradwell DP (eds). Ernsting's Aviation Medicine 4ed (2006) ISBN-10 0 340 81319 9: 13-40
- 25. Blain PG. Absorption of chemicals and mechanisms of detoxification. In Baxter PJ, Adams PH, Aw T-C, et al (eds). Hunter's Diseases of Occupations 9ed (2000) ISBN 0 340 67750 3: 67-80