

TOBACCO CONTROL
FACT SHEET

Slovenia

Health impact of tobacco control policies
in line with the WHO Framework Convention
on Tobacco Control (WHO FCTC)

Photo: 'Ljubljana' by Gilad Rom. CC BY 2.0



Based on the current level of adult smoking in Slovenia (1), premature deaths attributable to smoking are projected to be more than 213 000 of the 427 000 smokers alive today (Table 1) and may increase in the absence of stronger policies.

TABLE 1.
Initial smoking prevalence and projected premature deaths

Smoking prevalence (%)			Smokers (n)			Projected premature deaths of current smokers (n)					
Male	Female	Total	Male ^a	Female ^a	Total ^a	Male ^b	Female ^b	Total ^b	Male ^b	Female ^b	Total ^b
27.5	21.1	427 088	119 048	94 496	213 544	77 381	61 423	138 804			

^a Premature deaths are based on relative risks from large-scale studies of high-income countries.

^b Premature deaths are based on relative risks from large-scale studies of low- and middle-income countries.

Source: National Institute of Public Health (1).

Key findings

Within 15 years, the effects of individual tobacco control policies when fully implemented in line with the WHO FCTC (2) are projected to reduce smoking prevalence by:

- 15.7% by increasing excise cigarette taxes from its current level of 62% to 75% and prevent much youth smoking;
- 7.7% with more comprehensive smoke-free laws and stronger enforcement;
- 6.3% by increasing from a low-level to a high-level mass media campaign;
- 4.5% by requiring strong, graphic health warnings added to tobacco products;
- 3.1% by increasing from minimal provision to a well-publicized and comprehensive tobacco cessation policy; and
- 2.8% by banning just some forms of direct and indirect advertising to have a comprehensive ban on advertising, promotion and sponsorship that includes enforcement.

With this stronger set of policies and consistent with the WHO FCTC (2), smoking prevalence can be reduced by 27% within 5 years, by 34% within 15 years and by 41% within 40 years. Almost 88 000 deaths could be averted in the long term (Table 2). The SimSmoke tobacco control model (3) incorporates synergies in implementing multiple policies (e.g., strong media campaign with smoke-free laws and tobacco cessation policies).

TABLE 2.

Effect of tobacco control policies (individual and combined) on initial smoking prevalence and smoking-attributable deaths

Tobacco control policy	Relative change in smoking prevalence (%)		Reduction in smokers in 40 years (n)	Reduction in smoking-attributable deaths in 40 years (n)					
	5 years	40 years		Total	Male ^a	Female ^a	Total ^a	Male ^b	Female ^b
Protect through smoke-free laws	-6.7	-8.4	35 951	10 021	7 955	17 976	6 514	5 170	11 684
Offer tobacco cessation services	-1.8	-4.5	19 061	5 313	4 218	9 531	3 454	2 741	6 195
Mass media campaigns	-5.5	-6.6	28 188	7 857	6 237	14 094	5 107	4 054	9 161
Warnings on cigarette packages	-3.0	-6.0	25 625	7 143	5 670	12 813	4 643	3 685	8 328
Enforce marketing restrictions	-2.3	-3.0	12 770	3 560	2 825	6 385	2 314	1 836	4 150
Raise cigarette taxes	-10.5	-21.0	89 540	24 959	19 811	44 770	16 223	12 877	29 100
Combined policies	-26.6	-41.1	175 546	48 932	38 841	87 773	31 806	25 247	57 053

^a Smoking-attributable deaths are based on relative risks from large-scale studies of high-income countries.

^b Smoking-attributable deaths are based on relative risks from large-scale studies of low- and middle-income countries.

→ Monitor tobacco use

The prevalence of current adult smokers (15 years and older) was 24.2% in 2014 (men: 27.5%; women: 21.1%) (1).

→ Protect people from tobacco smoke

Health care facilities and education facilities including universities in Slovenia are completely smoke free (Table 3). In government facilities, indoor offices, restaurants, cafés, pubs, bars, and public transport, designated smoking rooms with strict technical requirements are allowed under the current legislation. Smoking violations consist of fines on the establishment and the patron. Funds are dedicated for enforcement; however, no system is in place for citizen complaints and further investigations (4).

TABLE 3.

Complete smoke-free indoor public places

Health care facilities	Education facilities except universities	Universities	Government facilities	Indoor offices & workplaces	Restaurants	Cafés, pubs & bars	Public transport	All other indoor public places
✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

Source: WHO (4).

✓ = completely smoke-free; ✗ = not completely smoke-free.

→ Offer help to quit tobacco use

Smoking cessation services are available in the so-called “health-educational centres” of community health centres, and the national health service or the national health insurance Institute of Slovenia fully covers its costs. Nicotine replacement therapy can be purchased over the counter in a pharmacy but is not cost-covered, and a toll-free quit line is available (4).

→ Warn about the dangers of tobacco

Health warnings are legally mandated to cover 30% of the front and 40% of the rear of the principal display area, whereby 16 health warnings are approved by law. They appear on each package and any outside packaging and labelling used in the retail sale and describe the harmful effects of tobacco use on health. Moreover, health warnings rotate on packages and are written in the principal language(s) of the country. The law also mandates font style, font size and colour for package warnings. However, the warnings do not include a photograph or graphics (4).

Total tobacco control expenditures, which may include mass media campaign expenditures, amount to US\$ 143 553 in Slovenia, which is less than US\$ 0.10 per capita and is, therefore, classified as a low level of funding (4).

→ Enforce bans on tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorship

Slovenia has a ban, through a law adopted in 1996 and amended in 2002, 2005 and 2007 (5), on several forms of direct and indirect advertising (Table 4). The law requires fines for violations of these direct and indirect advertising bans (4).

TABLE 4.

Bans on direct and indirect advertising

Direct advertising		Indirect advertising	
National television and radio	✓	Free distribution in mail or through other means	✗
International television and radio	✓	Promotional discounts	✓
Local magazines and newspapers	✓	Non-tobacco products identified with tobacco brand names	✗
International magazines and newspapers	✓	Appearance of tobacco brands in television and/or films (product placement)	✓
Billboards and outdoor advertising	✓	Appearance of tobacco products in television and/or films	✗
Advertising at point of sale	✗	Sponsored events	✓
Advertising on internet	✓	Tobacco products display at point of sale	✗

Source: WHO (4).

✓ = banned; ✗ = not banned.

Slovenia does not have:

- bans on tobacco companies/tobacco industry publicizing their activities;
- bans on entities other than tobacco companies/tobacco industry publicizing their activities;
- bans on tobacco companies funding or making contributions (including in-kind contributions) to smoking prevention media campaigns including those directed at youth; and
- a requirement to present prescribed anti-tobacco advertisements before, during or after the broadcasting or showing of any visual entertainment (4).

→ Raise taxes on tobacco

In Slovenia, a pack of cigarettes costs 3.45 EUR¹ (US\$ 4.62), of which 80.41% is tax (18.03% is value added and 62.38% is excise taxes) (4).

¹ The currency code is according to International Organization for Standardization, ISO 4217 currency names and code elements.

About the SimSmoke model

The abridged version of the SimSmoke tobacco control model, developed by David Levy of Georgetown University, United States of America, projects the reduction in smoking prevalence and smoking-attributable deaths as a result of implementing tobacco control policies (individually and in combination) (3). Specifically, the model projects the effects from:

- protecting from secondhand smoke through stronger smoke-free air laws
- offering greater access to smoking cessation services
- placing warnings on tobacco packages and other media/educational programmes
- enforcing bans on advertising, promotion and sponsorship
- raising cigarette prices through higher cigarette taxes (6).

For the SimSmoke model, data on smoking prevalence among adults were taken from the most recent nationally representative survey that covered a wide age range, and data on tobacco control policies were taken from the *WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2015* (4).

Funding

This was made possible by funding from the Government of Turkmenistan.

References²

1. Razširjenost kajenja med prebivalci Slovenije, starimi 15 let ali več, v 2014 (Anketa i zdravju in zdravstvenem varstvu 2014) [The prevalence of smoking among the Slovenian population aged 15 years and older in 2014 (Health Survey 2014)]. In: National Institute of Public Health [website]. Ljubljana: National Institute of Public Health; 2016 (http://www.nijz.si/sites/www.nijz.si/files/uploaded/kajenje_preb_slo_15 let_in_vec.pdf) (in Slovenian).
2. WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control [website]. Geneva: Convention Secretariat and World Health Organization; 2016 (<http://www.who.int/fctc/en/>).
3. Levy DT, Fouad H, Levy J, Dragomir AD, El Awa F. Application of the Abridged SimSmoke model to four Eastern Mediterranean countries. *Tob Control*. 2015. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2015-052334 [Epub ahead of print].
4. WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2015: raising taxes on tobacco. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015 (http://www.who.int/tobacco/global_report/2015/en/).
5. Tobacco Control Database for the WHO European Region [online database]. Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2016 (<http://data.euro.who.int/tobacco/>).
6. Tobacco Free Initiative MPOWER [website]. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2016 (<http://www.who.int/tobacco/mpower/en/>).

Acknowledgements

Data analysis: David Levy and Jeffrey Levy, Georgetown University, Washington DC, United States of America

Report: Trudy Wijnhoven and Kristina Mauer-Stender, WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen, Denmark

Editing: Nancy Gravesen, Irvine, California, United States of America

Graphic design: Carli Hyland, Hill+Knowlton Strategies, Copenhagen, Denmark

² Websites accessed on 21 March 2016.